

**Minutes of the Meeting of
CHURCH CROOKHAM PARISH COUNCIL
PLANNING COMMITTEE**

Date and Time: Monday 10th October 2011 – 7.30pm

Place: Willis Hall, Sandy Lane, Church Crookham

Present:

Councillors: John Bennison (JB) (Chairman), Helen Butler (HB), Gill Butler (GB), Nick Harris (NH), Michael Burford (MB).

Also present: Jayne Hawkins (Clerk)
James Radley (HDC)
Andrea Ong (AO)

There were 4 members of the public

Action

144/11 Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Cllr. Pat Lowe and Cllr. Bill Shambrook

145/11 To Approve the minutes

The minutes of the meetings held on 26th September were approved.

146/11 Declarations of Interest in any item on the agenda

Cllr. Bennison said that we know the architect Andrew McCallum who was attending as a member of the public and would be speaking about a planning application that will be submitted soon for Christ Church on Gally Hill Road. He declared a personal interest.

147/11 Chairman's Announcements

The Chairman made the following announcements:

- Planning and Development Seminars will be held on 16th, 23rd and 30th November. Cllrs Lowe and Bennison had booked a place.
- Consultation response to National Planning Policy Framework due by 17th October – The Chairman asked Councillors to contact him if anyone wanted to respond to this national consultation.
- The HDC planning committee would be considering an application to carry out work on an Oak tree at 6 Ayesgarth. The Chairman suggested that the committee should leave the decision on this to the HDC tree officer.
- The Chairman said that there were a number of members of the public attending to give a small presentation on plans that were due to be submitted for an extension at Christ Church.

148/11 Public Session

There were no comments from members of the public. Members of the public representing a planning application due to be submitted for an extension at Christ Church were invited to comment under item 149/11.

149/11 To consider pre-application plans for an extension at Christ Church and to provide feedback to the applicant.

Architect Andrew McCallum, Alan Babister and Helen Anderton from Christ Church gave an overview of their plans for extending the church hall to include a new meeting room, new ladies and gents toilets and a disabled toilet. Councillors asked a number of questions. The plans had been circulated to Councillors and some Councillors had visited the site on a previous occasion. The Councillors did not raise any immediate concerns and felt that the improvements would be an asset to the community however the Chairman stated that they would reserve the right to consider the plans fully when they are submitted and when comments from the public and other consultees can be assessed as they may raise issues that the Parish Council are not currently aware of.

150/11 To Review Current Planning Applications

Reference:	11/01902/HOU
Address:	9 Keynes Close Fleet Hampshire GU52 8BZ
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey rear extension and installation of new bay window to front of property Minor amendment: Amended description: Erection of single storey side extension to form annexe, erection of rear conservatory and new bay window to front of property
Decision:	No Objection: The Parish Council are content that the amended description is a fair representation of the plans. They would still expect the extension to be ancillary to the main dwelling.

Reference:	11/01981/HOU
Address:	27 Ferndale Road Church Crookham Fleet Hampshire GU52
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey side extension and single storey rear conservatory, following demolition of existing garage/store and attached rear sun room
Decision:	No objection

Reference:	11/02000/HOU
Address:	32 Gally Hill Road Church Crookham Fleet GU52 6PU
Proposal:	Erection of single storey side extension following demolition of garage and two storey side and rear extension.
Decision:	Objection: The Parish Council were concerned about the extension being in close proximity to the mature tree in the neighbouring property. They would support the comments made by the tree officer and would recommend that expert advice is obtained to ensure that the impact on the tree can be minimised.

Reference:	11/01716/HOU
Address:	1 Heron Close Church Crookham Fleet GU52 6EF
Proposal:	Erection of single storey front and side extension with solar voltaic panels on new hip roof and rear of main roof. Erection of single storey front and side extension with solar voltaic panels on new hip roof and rear of main roof.
Decision:	No Objection

Reference:	11/02034/HOU
Address:	30 Ryelaw Road Church Crookham Fleet Hampshire GU52 6HX

Proposal:	Erection of single storey front and rear extensions.
Decision:	Objection: The Parish Council found the plans to be very poor, they were unclear, confusing with insufficient measurements and scales. The Parish Council considered the plans were inadequate for them to provide an informed view. The Parish Council would also expect to see a bat assessment.

Reference:	11//02098/HOU
Address:	11 Carlton Crescent Church Crookham Fleet GU52 6AP
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey front extension.
Decision:	No Objection

151/11 To provide the clerk with responses to the LDF questionnaire so that a single Council response can be collated.

The Chairman proposed that the following response was sent into HDC. 4 in favour, 1 abstention.

Q1 No

Although we agree with many of the statements in isolation (such as 4) the vision does lack a strategic direction. If development is to be an extension to existing population centres, this needs to be fully integrated with infrastructure improvements.

A new population centre may be an alternative.

Q2 No

The section addresses government environmental standards, but makes no attempt to mitigate local consequences of climate change (such as potholes and flooding).

The requirement for extra housing has not be set against a firm sustainability and requirements evidence base. No choice has also been given for alternative development options.

Given economic drivers for Hart I would expect to see particular measures for commuters and for internet access.

The IDP is critical and strategic direction for this should be in the LDF.

Reducing emissions. We are disappointed to see there is no attempt to include the provision of car charging points in the strategy.

Q3 Partly

Against a background of undemonstrated requirements and sustainability assumptions, the spatial planning proposals are reasonable.

Q4 Partly

See answer to Q3. This section assumes undemonstrated sustainability and requirements.

Q5 Partly

This has ruled out another population centre within Hart, and this is dependent on assumptions and constraints not quoted in SS3.

Q6 Partly

See comment for Q5

Q7 Partly

See comments for Q5.

Q8 Yes

Q9 Yes

A risk mitigation strategy should be put in place. What happens if MoD changes its mind?

Q10 Partly

Although CP1 does mention many of the important topics, it is disappointing to see it does not provide strategic guidance. There should be statements say things like i) increasing parking at Fleet station, new or extended schools, improvements to public transport...

Q11

Please see the letter we sent earlier. The LDF is an acceptable short term response. But there needs to be a plan to replace it with a new evidence based strategy.

152/11 Review of the Weekly Lists - 10th October 2011

Decisions:

Reference: 11/01738/HOU

Address: 50 Gally Hill Road Church Crookham Fleet GU52 6QF

Proposal: Erection of two storey front, side and rear extensions following demolition of existing garage and utility room, additional bay windows, and change to existing window layout.

Status: GrantPermission

Reference: 11/01765/HOU

Address: 33 Tudor Way Church Crookham Fleet GU52 6LX

Proposal: Demolish existing dangerous garden wall and re-build new garden fence and wall - retrospective application being an amendment to 10/002444/HOU

Status: GrantPermission

TPO's

Reference: 11/02038/TPO

Address: 7 Wynne Gardens Church Crookham Fleet Hampshire GU52 8EQ

Proposal: PROPOSAL TO CARRY OUT WORK TO TREES UNDER A TPO 1.English Oak (TPO 13481): Remove single remaining branch from below common crown, due to general maintenance and having a common crown height. 2. English Oak (TPO 13486): Remove single lower branch extending to neighbours drive at low level. The single branch is very long and has no foliage except at the end. 3. English Oak: Remove cluster of low branches which stick out over boundary in direction of neighbours drive. Due to general maintenance.

Status: GrantPermission

Appeals

None

Enforcements

None

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 8.25 pm

Signed.....

Date.....